

# Management of tea mosquito bug, *Helopeltis antonii* Sign. on guava using entomopathogen fungus

K. MANASA<sup>1</sup>, A. BHAGWAN<sup>1</sup>, SIKHA DEKA<sup>2</sup>, IRULANDI<sup>3</sup> and P. N. GANGA VISALAKSHY<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Fruit Research Station, Sangareddy - 502001, Telangana State, India <sup>2</sup>Citrus Research Station, Assam Agricultural University, Tinsukia, Assam, India

<sup>3</sup>Horticultural College and Research Institute, Perivakulam, Tamil Nadu, India

<sup>4</sup>Division of Entomology and Nematology, ICAR-Indian Institute of Horticultural Research, Bengaluru, Karnataka, India

E-mail: manasa.kasturi91@gmail.com

**ABSTRACT:** Field experiments were conducted during 2015-2018 at three locations *viz.*, Periyakulam (Tamilnadu), Tinsukia (Assam) and Bengaluru (Karnataka) on the efficacy of *Beauveria bassiana* against tea mosquito bug, *Helopeltis antonii*. The results revealed that 3-4 weekly sprays of *B. bassiana* (IIHR) at fruit setting stage of guava either in talc formulation @ 10g/l or wettable formulation @ 1 g/l was effective for management of the tea mosquito bug on guava.

Key words: Beuveria bassiana, biopesticides, guava, tea mosquito bug, Helopeltis antonii

## **INTRODUCTION**

Guava is one of the most commercially important fruits in India. Guava cultivation is gaining popularity in the recent past because of its hardy and regular and early bearing nature (Singh, 2010). In India, Guava production is 4,107 tonnes in 2019 with an increase from the previous number of 4,054 tonnes in 2018. Fruit is a good source of vitamin C, pectin, calcium and phosphorus. The vitamin C of guava is four times that of an orange. The fruit is used for the preparation of processed products like jams, jellies and nectar. Guava jelly puree is very popular for its attractive purplish-red colour, pleasant taste and aroma. Fruits can be preserved by canning as halves or quarters, with or without seed core (shells). Good quality salad can be prepared from the shell of ripe fruits. Leaves of guava are used for curing diarrhoea and also for dyeing and tanning. Large number of insect pests has been reported to occur on guava at various growth stages, but a few are a real menace to the cultivation of this crop.

More than 80 species of insects and mites have been recorded on guava trees affecting the growth and yield. Among these, the tea mosquito bug, *Helopetis antonii* Sign. is one of the serious pests. In India, there are three species of tea mosquito bug *viz., Helopeltis antonii, H. bradyi* and *H. theivora*. Among them, *H. antonii* is the most dominant species. It has a wide host range such as tea, cashew, moringa, guava, neem, cocoa and other host plants such as annona, Singapore cherry, mango, pomegranate, beetel, moringa. (Kamala Jayanthi, 2016; Reddy, 2000; Devasahayam and Nair, 1986; Sundararaju and Babu, 1996)

The tea mosquito bug, *Helopeltis antonii* Signoret (Hemiptera: Miridae) is gaining importance as a pest on guava in recent years. Its eggs are inserted in the midribs of young terminal leaves. The nymphs and adults desap all parts of the plant such as terminal shoots, young leaves, flowers and fruits that are just formed causing a maximum of 61.79 % fruit loss (Patil and Naik, 2004a). Chemical pesticides are to be auspicious but for the concern of natural enemies and environment, botanicals and microbial pesticides are considered as promising methods to manage the pests without any secondary response. With this background, the present study was undertaken on the management of tea mosquito bug, *H. antonii* using biopesticides

The entomopathogenic fungus, *B. bassiana* is one of the most effective agents in biological control widely described in the literature. It's found in all soil types (Jamal 2008; Lambert 2010). Different isolates were identified to attack a wide range of insects (707 species belong to 15 orders) and mites (13 species) (Lambert 2010; Zimmermann 2007). The use of *B. bassiana* is an environmentally friendly control mean compared to chemical pesticides. In addition to being more environmentally sound control method, *B. bassiana* is harmless to human health (Althouse *et al.* 1997; Faria and Wraight, 2001).

Several studies revealed the insecticidal potential of *B. bassiana* as mycopesticides and commercial endophytic fungi. The entomopathogenic fungus *B. bassiana* was reported to be effective against the palm weevil *Rhynchophorus ferrugineus* (Oilv.) (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) when applied three methods (Injection of *B. bassiana* in naturally infested palm trees, periodical dusting application of fungal spores on palm trees,

Tr. No	Treatment	Mean fruit damage (%)	Yield (t/ha)	B:C ratio
T <sub>1</sub>	B. bassiana (IIHR) wettable formulation @ 1g/L	19.69	10.60	1.77
T <sub>2</sub>	<i>B. bassiana</i> (IIHR) water formulation @ 1ml/L	25.09	9.60	1.69
T <sub>3</sub>	Lamda cyhalothrin @ 0.5 ml/L	16.98	10.70	2.32
$T_4$	Control (Water spray)	34.68	8.57	1.16
CD (p=0.05	5)	1.66	0.90	-
SEm±		0.51	0.51	-

 Table 1. Evaluation of different bio formulations against tea mosquito bug in guava (Pooled data of 2015-16, 2016-17 and 2017-18) at Periyakulam

release of contaminated males of red palm weevil with fungal spores). Injection of naturally infested palm trees using *B. bassiana* reduced by up 90% of the weevil population (Sewify *et al.* 2009).

On cucumber grown in greenhouse, single application of either fungus *B. bassiana* or the predatory mite *Neoseiulus barkeri* significantly reduced both larval and adult *F. occidentalis* populations (Wu *et al.* 2013) performed laboratory and greenhouse evaluation of a new entomopathogenic strain of *B. bassiana* for control of the onion thrips, *Thrips tabaci* (Wu *et al.* 2016). *B. bassiana* had the ability to be used as an effective biocontrol agent for the control of stored grain insect pests such as *C. cephalonica* (rice meal moth) and *T. castaneum* (red flour beetle). In this context, the experiment was carried out to identify the environment friendly technology for management of tea mosquito bug on guava using entomopathogen fungus at three different locations.

Field experiments were carried out during 2015 to 2018 at Periyakulam, Tinsukia and Bengaluru to find out the efficacy of bio formulations against tea mosquito bug

in a Randomized Block Design with four treatments and five replications. Treatments were imposed at weekly intervals for  $T_{1(B)}$  bassiana (IIHR) wettable formulation ), $T_2$  (*B. bassiana*(IIHR) water formulation) and for treatment  $T_{3(}$  Lamdacyhalothrin- 0.05 %) at 15 days interval. first Spraying was initiated at fruiting setting stage of guava. Second spray was given after 7 days of first spray and third spray after 7 days of second spray. Total three sprays were given and the observations were recorded on fruits damaged by tea mosquito bug by counting the total number of fruits and infested fruits and calculated the percent damage and also recorded the weight of healthy fruits and infested by tea mosquito bug in guava.

**Preparation of spray suspension:** Dissolve 400 g of wettable formulation + 400 g jiggery in 400 l of water. Keep it for 48 hours under room temperature and then spray.

The data on damaged fruits and yield were recorded at respective intervals and the averages were worked out to draw the conclusion.

Tr. No	Treatment	Mean fruit damage (%)	Yield (kg/tree)	B:C ratio
T <sub>1</sub>	<i>B. bassiana</i> (IIHR) wettable formulation @ 1g/L	12.72	18.11	2.25
T <sub>2</sub>	<i>B. bassiana</i> (IIHR) water formulation @ 1ml/L	22.17	14.82	2.04
T <sub>3</sub>	Lamda cyhalothrin @ 0.5 ml/L	24.07	13.33	1.91
$T_4$	Control (Water spray)	33.71	11.36	-
CD(p=0.05)		1.97	3.12	-
SEm±		0.95	1.85	-

Table 2. Evaluation of different bio formulations against tea mosquito bug in guava (Pooled data of 2016 – 17, 2017 – 18) at Tinsukia

Pest Management in Horticultural Ecosystems Vol. 26, No.2 pp 175-178 (2020)

#### **Results and Discussion**

In perivakulam, the lowest mean percent fruit damage (19.69) of tea mosquito bug in guava was observed in T<sub>1</sub> (B. bassiana (IIHR) wettable formulation @ 1g/lit) followed by T<sub>2</sub> (B. bassiana (IIHR) water formulation @ 1ml/lit) with 25.09 mean percent fruit damage whereas, highest mean fruit damage (34.68) was observed in T4 control. The highest yield (10.7 t/ha) was recorded in T3 (lamda cyhalothrin@0.05%) followed by T. (B. bassiana (IIHR) wettable formulation @ 1g/lit) with yield of 10.60 t/ha, while lowest yield (8.57) was observed in T4 control. Highest BC ratio was recorded in T<sub>3</sub> (lamda cyhalothrin@0.05%) followed by T1 (B. bassiana (IIHR) wettable formulation @ 1g/lit) with 1.77 while T2 (B. bassiana (IIHR) water formulation @ 1ml/lit) recorded 1.69 ratio (Table 1). These results are also supported with the findings of Feng et al., 2004 as they reported high-rate of B. bassiana and imidacloprid resulted in the most significant leafhopper control, yielding an overall mean efficacy of 69%.

In Tinsukia, the lowest mean percent fruit damage (12.72) of tea mosquito bug in guava was observed in T1 (B. bassiana (IIHR) wettable formulation @ 1g/lit) followed by T2 with 22.17 percent fruit damage, while highest mean fruit damage (33.71) was observed in T4 control. The highest yield (18.11 t/ha) was recorded in T1 ( B. bassiana (IIHR) wettable formulation @ 1g/lit) followed by T2 ( B. bassiana (IIHR) water formulation (a) 1ml/lit) with yield of 14.82 t/ha, while lowest yield (11.36) was observed in T4 control. Highest BC ratio was recorded in T1 ( B. bassiana (IIHR) wettable formulation @ 1g/lit) followed by T2 ( B. bassiana (IIHR) water formulation @ 1ml/lit) with 2.04 while T3 recorded 1.91 ratio (Table 2). Similar results reported by Baby et al., 2020 reported Beauveria spp, (BKN 1/14) at concentration  $1 \times 10^8$  CFU/ml were effective on different life stages of tea mosquito (Helopeltis theivora).

In Bengaluru center, highest yield was observed in  $T_2$  (*B. bassiana* (IIHR) water formuation 1ml/ litre of water) with 27.1 t/ha followed by  $T_3$  (lamda cyhalothrin@0.05%) with 27.0 t/ha, while lowest yield was recorded in  $T_1$  with 24.5 t/ha. These results are supported with the findings of Patil and Naik, 2004 as they reported *Beauveria bassiana* is identified as a potential biological control agent of *H. antonii* causing 100 percent mortality in bio-assay studies. It is also reported as an effective biological control agent of tea mosquito bug, *H. theivora* (Hemiptera: Miridae) in Assam (Hazarika *et al.*, 2009).

The overall experiment gives conclusion that, 3-4 weekly sprays of Beuveria bassiana (IIHR) 'at fruit setting stage of guava with wettable formulation @1 g per litre is recommended for effective control of the guava tea mosquito bug in Karnataka, Tamilnadu and Assam centres. The present findings were in accordance with that of Navik et al., 2015 who have found that the entomopathogenic fungus, B. bassiana was the most effective against tea mosquito bug. H. antonii and recorded 91.67% nymphal mortality after 10 days of application. Similarly, Borkakati and Saikia 2019 recorded lowest number of tea mosquito bug was recorded in the plots treated with IIHR strain of *B. bassiana* (15.76 and 18.60) as compared to untreated control (26.75 and 53.00) but was at par with Azadirachtin 1000 ppm and commercial formulation B. bassiana per 10 plants.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are grateful to the Director, IIHR, Bangalore, for supplying the *Beauveria* formulation and Project Coordinator, AICRP on fruits for providing the facilities for conducting the experiment in different centres.

Tr. No	Treatment	Mean fruit damage (%)	Yield (t/ha)
T <sub>1</sub>	B. bassiana (IIHR) wettable formulation @ 1g/L	13.1	24.5
T <sub>2</sub>	<i>B. bassiana</i> (IIHR) water formulation @ 1ml/L	14.2	27.1
T <sub>3</sub>	Lamda cyhalothrin @ 0.5 ml/L	10.9	27.0
$T_4$	Control (Water spray)	60.5	13.15
CD (p = 0.05)		1.12	-
SEm±		0.36	-

 Table 3. Evaluation of different bio formulations against tea mosquito bug in guava (2015 - 16 to 2016 - 17) at Bengaluru

### REFERRENCES

- Althouse, C. M, Petersen, B. E. and McEwen, L. C. 1997. Effects of young American kestrels (*Falco sparvericus*) exposed to *Beauveria bassiana* bioinsecticide. *Bullettin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicolology*, **59**: 507–512.
- Devasahayam, S. and Radhakrishnan Nair, C. P. 1986. Tea mosquito bug on cashew in India. *Journal of Plantation Crops*, **14**: 1-10.
- Faria, M. and Wraight S. P. 2001. Biological control of *Bemisia* with fungi. *Crop Protection*, **20**:767–78.
- Hazarika, L. K., Budhinda, M. B. and Hazarika. N. 2009. Insect pests of tea and their management. *Annual Review of Entomology* 54: 267-284
- Jamal, Z. 2008 Application of Beauveria bassiana against Lygus lineolaris (Palisot de beauvois) (Hemiptera: Miridae) in vineyards. Montreal: University of Quebec
- Jayanthi, P. D. K., Nagaraja, T., Raghava and Vivek kemraj. 2016. Pomegranate, a newly documented host plant of tea mosquito bug, *Helopeltis antonii* Signoret. *Pest Management in Horticultural Ecosystems*, 22: 88 - 90.
- Lambert, N. 2010. *Biological control of pests: Applicability in Quebec*. Quebec: University of Sherbrooke
- Patil, G. R. and Naik, K. 2004. Evaluation of mycopathogens *Helopeltis antonii* Signoret. *Karnataka journal Agricultural Sciences*, 17: 337-338.

- Reddy, P.V.R. 2002. Fruit stage preference of tea mosquito bug, *Helopeltis antonii* Sign, in guava, *Psidium guajava. Journal of Applied Zoological Research*, 13:181-182.
- Sewify, G. H., Belal, M. H. and Al-Awash, S. A. 2009. Use of the Entomopathogenic fungus, *Beauveria* bassiana for the biological control of the red palm weevil, *Rhynchophorus ferrugineus* Olivier. Egypt Journal of Biological Pest Control, 19:157–63.
- Singh, G. 2010. Development of meadow orchard in guava for higher production. *Progressive Horticulture*, **42**: 129-133.
- Sundararaju, D and Sundara Babu, P. C. 1996. *Helopeltis* spp. (Heteroptera: Miridae) and their management in plantation and horticultural crops of India. *Journal of Plantation Crops*, **27**: 155-740.
- Wu, S., Gao, Y. and Smagghe, G. 2016 Interactions between the entomopathogenic fungus *Beauveria* bassiana and the predatory mite, *Neoseiulus* barkeri and biological control of their shared prey / host, *Frankliniella occidentalis*. *Biological Control*, **98**: 43–51.
- Wu, S., Gao, Y. and Xu, X. 2013. Laboratory and greenhouse evaluation of a new entomopathogenic strain of *Beauveria bassiana* for control of the onion thrips, *Thrips tabaci. Biocontrol Science* and Technology, 23: 794–802.
- Zimmermann, G. 2007. Review on safety of the entomopathogenic fungi *Beauveria bassiana* and *Beauveria brongniartii*. *Biocontrol Science and Technolgy*, **17**: 553–96.

M.S. Received: 11 October 2020 M.S. Accepted: 15 November 2020